## Name of School: ECF Saint Too Canaan College

Staff-in-charge: Mr. Yan Ka Chi
Contact Telephone No.:
23720033
A. The number of students (count by heads) benefitted under the Grant is $\underline{172}$ _(including A._ 28 CSSA recipients, B._ 89 SFAS fullgrant recipients and C. $\qquad$ under school's discretionary quota).
B. Information on Activities to be subsidised/complemented by the Grant.

| *Name / Type of activity | Actual no. of participating eligible students ${ }^{\#}$ |  |  |  | Average attendance rate | Period/Date activity held | Actual expenses (\$) | Method(s) of evaluation (e.g. test, questionnaire, etc) | Name of partner/ service provider (if applicable) | Remarks if any (e.g. students' learning and affective outcome) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Art /culture activities Aesthetic Development (Campus Beauty, VA Society, Creative Photography Class) |  | 6 | 4 | $56 \%$ |  | 10/2022-8/2023 | \$2,300 | Skill-based training, questionnaire |  |  |
| Visits - <br> Eco-friendly Development (Organic Garden, Exploring GREEN in Singapore, Local visit to Tai O and Yim Tin Tsai, Eco-Tourism) |  | 5 | 5 | 92\% |  | 10/2022-8/2023 | \$17,028 | Good learning performance, serious attitude in farming |  |  |
| Art /culture activities History Development <br> (Hong Kong Kaleidoscope: A Vibrant Cultural, Economics, Cultural and History Study, Cultural tourism in Taiwan, Chinese Culture in Taiwan) | 0 | 17 | 8 | 98\% |  | 10/2022-8/2023 | \$37,445 | Good learning performance |  |  |
| Learning skill training - <br> Intellectual Development <br> (Board Game, EngBaking, |  | 8 | 3 | 85\% |  | 10/2022-8/2023 | \$12,175 | Good attitude / nurture the critical mind / writing skill |  |  |



Note:

* Types of activities are categorized as follows: tutorial service, learning skill training, languages training, visits, art /culture activities, sports, self-confidence development, volunteer service, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses.
@ Man-times: refers to the aggregate no. of benefitted students participating in each activity listed above.
** Total no. of man-times: the aggregate of man-times (A) + (B) + (C)
\# Eligible students: students in receipt of CSSA (A), SFAS full grant (B) and disadvantaged students identified by the school under the discretionary quota (not more than $25 \%$ ) (C).


## C.Project Effectiveness

In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students?

| Please put a ${ }^{6} \checkmark$ " against the most appropriate box. | Improved |  |  | No Change | Declining | Not Applicable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Significant | Moderate | Slight |  |  |  |

## Learning Effectiveness

a) Students' motivation for learning
b) Students' study skills
c) Students' academic achievement
d) Students' learning experience outside classroom
e) Your overall view on students' learning effectiveness

| $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |

## Personal and Social Development

f) Students' self-esteem
g) Students' self-management skills
h) Students' social skills
i) Students' interpersonal skills
j) Students' cooperativeness with others
k) Students' attitudes toward schooling
l) Students' outlook on life
m) Your overall view on students' personal and social development
Community Involvement

| n)Students' participation in extracurricular and voluntary <br> activities | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| o) Students' sense of belonging |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| p) Students' understanding on the community |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| q) Your overall view on students' community involvement | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## D. Comments on the project conducted

## Problems/difficulties encountered when implementing the project

## (You may tick more than one box)

unable to identify the eligible students (i.e., students receiving CSSA, SFAS full grant);difficult to select suitable non-eligible students to fill the discretionary quota;
$\square$ eligible students unwilling to join the programmes (Please specify: $\qquad$ );the quality of service provided by partner/service provider not satisfactory;tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory;the amount of administrative work leads to apparent increase on teachers' workload;complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB;the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming;Others (Please specify): Require time to identify the eligible students

## E. Do you have any feedback from students and their parents? Are they satisfied with the service provided? (optional)

